

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

MONA DOBRICH, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HARVEY L. WALLS, et al.,

Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

C.A.No. 05-120-JJF

**ANSWER OF DEFENDANT REGINALD L. HELMS
IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY**

Defendant Reginald L. Helms, answering the Complaint of the Plaintiffs, alleges and says as follows in his official capacity:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Denied that the Plaintiffs action would enforce rights under the Constitutions of the United States or Delaware.

2. Denied, except to the extent that there has been a practice of including prayer at certain District sponsored events.

3. Denied.

4. Denied.

5. Denied.

6. Denied.

THE PARTIES

7. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the

truth of the averments.

8. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

9. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments

10. Admitted.

11. Admitted.

12. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

13. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

14. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

15. Admitted.

16. Admitted.

17. Admitted.

18. Admitted.

19. Admitted.

JURISDICTION

20. Denied, the Defendant demanding strict proof of this Court's jurisdiction.

VENUE

21. Denied, the Defendant demanding strict proof that venue is properly in this Court.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

22. Denied, except to admit that prayers have been delivered at District-sponsored events.

Graduation Ceremonies

23. Denied except to admit that members of the clergy were asked to deliver invocations and benedictions at the Sussex Central High School graduation ceremonies.

24. Denied.

25. Denied.

26. Admitted.

27. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averment.

28. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averment.

29. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

30. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

Bible Club

31. Denied.

32. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

33. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

34. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

35. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

36. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

School Distributing Bibles

37. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

38. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

39. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

Athletic Events

40. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

41. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

42. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

Potlucks, Ice Cream Socials and Academic Banquets

43. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the

truth of the averments.

44. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

45. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

46. Admitted, except that it is denied that the prayer by Pastor Marvin Morris was sectarian.

47. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

Religion in the Curriculum

48. Denied.

49. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

50. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

51. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

Religion at School Board Meetings

52. Denied.

53. Admitted, except that it is denied that student attendance at school board meetings is ever mandatory.

54. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the

truth of the averments.

55. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

56. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

57. Denied.

58. Admitted.

59. Admitted.

60. Admitted.

61. Denied.

62. Admitted.

63. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

64. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

65. Admitted.

66. Denied.

67. Admitted.

68. Admitted.

69. Denied.

The Dobriches' Reaction to the Prayer at Graduation

70. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the

truth of the averments.

71. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

72. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

73. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

The June 15, 2004 School Board Meeting

74. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

75. Denied.

76. Admitted.

77. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

78. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

The July 27, 2004 School Board Meeting

79. Admitted.

80. Admitted, except that it is denied that school-sponsored prayer was endorsed by speakers at the meeting.

81. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

82. Admitted.

83. Admitted as to the first sentence. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the remaining averments.

84. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

Local Talk Radio Picks Up the Story

85. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

86. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

87. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

88. Admitted.

The August 24, 2004 School Board Meeting

89. Admitted as to the first sentence. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the remaining averments.

90. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of the averments.

91. Admitted, with the exception of the averment concerning the presence of Jane Doe. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

92. Admitted.

93. Admitted to the extent a press release was issued. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment concerning the content of the release.

94. Admitted.

95. Admitted that Mona Dobrich spoke at the meeting. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment as to what Mona Dobrich said.

96. Admitted that Alex and Samantha Dobrich were recognized to speak, but the remainder of the allegation is denied.

97. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

98. Admitted.

99. Admitted.

100. Admitted.

101. Denied.

102. Admitted to the extent the persons identified in the averment spoke at the meeting. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment as to what was said.

103. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

104. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

105. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

**The Life of the Dobriches Since their Public
Appearances Opposing School Prayer**

106. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

107. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

108. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

109. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

110. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

111. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

112. Denied.

113. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

**The District Has Not Investigated Complaints
Demonstrating Constitutional Violations**

114. Denied.

115. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the

truth of this averment.

116. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

117. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

The District Has Refused to Distribute Its New Policies

118. Admitted.

119. Denied.

120. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

121. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

122. Denied.

The District Has Failed to Implement Its Policies

123. Denied.

124. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

125. Denied.

126. Admitted.

127. Denied.

128. Denied.

The District Has Failed to Respond to Good Faith

Efforts to Address Deficiencies in the Policies

129. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

130. Admitted.

131. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

132. Admitted.

133. Admitted.

134. Admitted.

135. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment.

136. The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief of the truth of this averment

COUNT I:

137. The Defendant incorporates by reference the responses set forth above.

138. Admitted.

139. Denied.

140. Denied.

141. Denied.

142. Denied.

143. Denied.

144. Denied.

145. Denied.

146. Denied.

COUNT II:

147. The Defendant incorporates by reference the responses set forth above.

148. Denied.

149. Denied

150. Denied.

151. Denied.

COUNT III:

152. The Defendant incorporates by reference the responses set forth above.

153. Denied.

154. Denied.

155. Denied.

156. Denied.

157. Denied.

158. Denied.

159. Denied.

160. Denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

161. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

162. The Plaintiffs' claims against the Defendant are barred by absolute immunity.

163. The Plaintiffs' claims for relief against the Defendant are barred by qualified

immunity.

164. The Plaintiffs cannot prove that any action or inaction on the part of the Defendant was the proximate cause of any harm to any Plaintiff.

165. The Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the Free Speech and Petition Clauses of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

166. Plaintiffs lack standing to bring this suit.

167. Plaintiffs' claims are fabricated in whole or in part in an effort manufacture an Article III case and controversy and interfere with Constitutionally protected freedoms of the defendants and the citizens of Sussex County, Delaware.

168. The claims for injunctive relief have been mooted by even handed written policies which as written and as applied are constitutional regarding religious activity on school premises.

169. In light of the constitutional written policies currently in effect, past actions within the school district cannot be made the basis for prospective injunctive relief.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Reginald. L. Helms prays that this Court enter judgment against the Plaintiffs and in favor of Defendant Helms, with costs and attorney's fees assessed against the Plaintiffs, jointly and severally, and grant such other relief as is equitable, just and proper.

THE NEUBERGER FIRM, P.A.

/s/ Thomas S. Neuberger

THOMAS S. NEUBERGER, ESQ. (#243)

STEPHEN J. NEUBERGER, ESQ. (#4440)

Two East Seventh Street, Suite 302

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 655-0582

TSN@NeubergerLaw.com

SJN@NeubergerLaw.com

Attorneys for Reginald L. Helms in his official
capacity

THE RUTHERFORD INSTITUTE

JOHN W. WHITEHEAD, ESQ.

DOUGLAS R. McKUSICK, ESQ.

P.O. Box 7482

Charlottesville, VA 22906

(434) 978-3888

JohnW@Rutherford.org

DouglasM@Rutherford.org

Dated April 4, 2005

Of Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stephen J. Neuberger, being a member of the bar of this Court do hereby certify that on April 4, 2005, I electronically filed this **Pleading** with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Thomas J. Allingham II
Robert S. Saunders
One Rodney Square
P.O. Box 636
Wilmington, DE 19899

/s/ Stephen J. Neuberger
STEPHEN J. NEUBERGER, ESQ.

cc: client

Helms/Pleadings/Answer.final